

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/832,410	SCHMIDL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted M. Wang	2611

All Participants:

(1) Ted M. Wang.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Carlton Hoel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 June 2007

Time: 04:11 p.m.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the applicant's representative, Carlton Hoel. The instant application is in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)